UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT MIDDLE DISTRICT OF TENNESSEE NASHVILLE DIVISION

TIMETED STATES OF AMEDICA

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA	
v.	No. 3:11-cr-00132
HAWO OSMAN AHMED, et al.	Chief Judge Haynes/Judge Knowles Out En
DEFENDANT HAMDI MOHAMUD'S RENEWED MOTION FOR A HEARING ON REVIEW OF DETENTION ORDER The manner A set of Charmy A behelie The manner The manner	
This renewed Motion for a review of Ms. Mohamud's pretrial detention is largely 157	
prompted by the fact that she has now been in custody for almost ten months (since on about	
November 17, 2011), with no prospect of an imminent trial date in view of a co-Defendant's	
pending motions. In addition, as reflected by Exhibit A (the September 12, 2012, report of	
James M. Lewis, D.M.D., D.A.F.B.O., the forensic odontologist whom the government selected 10-11-1	
to conduct a "forensic dental age assessement" of Ms. Mohamud), there is a substantial question	
as to whether Ms. Mohamud had reached the age of 18 as of the date of the alleged offense (June	
16, 2011), or even whether she is an adult now (given that Dr. Lewis calculated her "Average	
Mean Age" as 17.60 years as of September 7 this year).*	

Defendant initially filed a "Motion for Review of Detention Order and Memorandum in Support" on February 15 this year (D.E. 86), with supplemental filings on March 7 (D.E. 88), April 3 (D.E. 92), and April 16 (D.E. 93). She adopts and incorporates those filings by

^{*} The Court will note that the copy of Dr. Lewis's report is unsigned, but the government has represented that it has a signed version. Defendant intends to file a Motion to Dismiss for lack of this Court's subject matter jurisdiction based on her "age issue," but is not yet prepared to do. The parties are in the process of attempting to stipulate to most if not all of the underlying proof, although Defendant submits that the report of the government's own expert should be dispositive by itself.

It should be noted that Ms. Mohamud's "A-File" date of birth is January 1, 1993 (which would have made her 18 on the date of the offense), but as the Court learned in the *Adan* trial, the default "A-File" January 1 birth dates of otherwise undocumented Somali refugees are essentially meaningless in terms of reflecting actual chronological age.